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## So far ...

Structure of nodes:
D Netinput: weight sum of input activations

- Output: activation functions, f(netinput)
- Learning Rules:
- The Delta rule
- The perceptron convergence rule
- Gradient descent
- Training:
$\square$ Global error (RMS)
- Properties of single layer networks:

A solution will be found, if it exists
But, many problems aren't solveable with single layer networks + E.g. XOR

## Overview

- Characterising the limits of single layer networks
- Linearly separable problems only
- Multi-layer networks:
- Solution to XOR

Learning "inferences": the family tree example
$\square$ Properties of multi-layer networks

- Training networks with hidden layers:
- The back-propagation algorithm


## 2-D Representation of Boolean Functions

We can visual the relationship between inputs (plotted in 2-D space) and the desired output (represented as a line dividing the space):


## Solving XOR with hidden units

- Consider the following network:
- 3-layer, feedforward
- 2 units in a "hidden"-layer
- Hidden and output units are threshold units: $\theta=1$

Representations at hidden layer:

| Input | Hidden |  | Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{h}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~h}_{2}$ |  |
| 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 01 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



Problem: current learning rules cannot be used for hidden units:
Why? We don't know what the "error" is at these nodes
$\square$ "Delta" requires that we know the desired activation $\Delta w=2 \varepsilon \delta F^{*} a_{\text {in }}$

## Backpropagation of Error


(a) Forward propagation of activity: netinput $_{\text {out }}=\sum w \cdot a_{\text {hidden }}$ $a_{\text {out }}=F\left(\right.$ netinput $\left._{\text {out }}\right)$
(b) Backward propagation of error :
netinput $_{\text {hidden }}=\sum w \cdot \delta_{\text {out }}$
$\delta_{\text {hidden }}=F\left(\right.$ netinput $\left._{\text {hidden }}\right)$


## Learning in Multi-layer Networks

$$
\text { The generalised Delta rule: } \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
\Delta w_{i j}=\eta \delta_{i p} a_{j} & \\
\text { For output nodes : } & \text { For hidden nodes : } \\
\delta_{i p}=f^{\prime}\left(\text { net }_{i p}\right)\left(t_{i p}-a_{i p}\right) & \delta_{i p}=f^{\prime}\left(\text { net }_{i p}\right) \sum_{k} \delta_{k p} w_{k i} \\
\text { where, } f^{\prime}\left(\text { net }_{i p}\right)=a_{i p}\left(1-a_{i p}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

- Multi-layer networks can, in principle, learn any mapping function:
$\square$ Not constrained to problems which are linearly separable
- While there exists a solution for any mapping problem, backpropagation is not guaranteed to find it
- Unlike the perceptron convergence rule
- Why? Local minima:
- Backprop can get trapped here

G Global minimum (solution) is here


## Example of Backpropagation

Consider the following network, containing hidden nodes

- Calculate the weight changes for both layers of the network, assuming targets of: 11

The generalised Delta rule:
$\Delta w_{i j}=\eta \delta_{i p} a_{j}$
For output nodes:
$\delta_{i p}=f^{\prime}\left(n e t_{i p}\right)\left(t_{i p}-a_{i p}\right)$
For hidden nodes:
$\delta_{i p}=f^{\prime}\left(n e t_{i p}\right) \sum_{k} \delta_{k p} w_{k i}$
where, $f^{\prime}\left(\right.$ net $\left._{i p}\right)=a_{i p}\left(1-a_{i p}\right)$


For calculations see (Plunkett \& Elman, Ch. 1)

## Calculations (Plunkett \& Elman, Ch. 1)

$$
\delta_{3}=0.13
$$

## The Family Tree Problem

Family trees encode more complex relationships:


- 24 people, 12 relationships
- Mother, daughter, sister, wife, aunt, niece (+ masculine versions)
- Training: trained on 100 of 104 possible relationships

■ Learned the other 4: e.g. Victoria's son is Colin

## What does the Network Learn

- E.g. Victoria's son is Colin:
- Input: Victoria \& Son

Output: Colin

- In a single-layer network:

Victoria would activate all the people victoria was (known to be) related to
. Son would activate all people who are (known to be) sons

+ Colin would be partially activated, because he is James' son
But Colin would not have very high activation
+ James and Arthur are both sons, and related to Victoria
A solution to this problem requires deduction:
- Transitive inference:
+ Victoria's husband is James AND James' son is Colin
+ THEREFORE Victoria's son is Colin
Thus the structure of the tree is learned from exemplars


## Learning family tree relationships

The network architecture, using hidden units:

- The learned encoding of people:

1. Active for English
2. Active for older generation
3. Active for the leaves
4. Encodes right side
5. Active for Italian
6. Encodes left side


## Some comments

Single layer networks (perceptrons)

- Can only solve problems which are linearly separable

But a solution is guaranteed by the perceptron convergence rule

- Multi-layer networks (with hidden units)
- Can in principle solve any input-output mapping function

Backpropagation performs a gradient descent of the error surface
Can get caught in a local minimum

- Cannot guarantee to find the solution

Finding solutions:
Manipulate learning rule parameters: learning rate, momentum

- Brute force search (sampling) of the error surface to find a set of starting position in weight space
+ Computationally impractical for complex networks


## Biological plausibility

Backpropagation requires bi-directional signals
$\square$ Forward propagation of activation

- Backward propagation of error
. Nodes must "know" the strengths of all synaptic connections to compute error: non-local
$\square$ Axons are uni-directional transmitters
- Possible justification:

Backpropagation explains what is learned,

- Not how it is learned
- Network architecture:
$\square$ Successful learning crucially depends on the number of hidden units
There is know way to know, a priori, what that number is
- Another solution: use a network with a local learning rule - E.g. Hebbian learning


## Material we have covered includes:

McLeod, Plunkett \& Rolls
Chapter 1: Basic of connectionist processing, intro to Tlearn

- Chapter 5:
+ The perception convergence rule
+ Linear separability
+ Gradient descent
+ Multi-layer networks
+ Backpropagation

■ Elman and Plunkett

- Chapter 1: Overview of above + exercises

Chapter 3: Training the Tlearn simulator to leaner Boolean operations

## Tutorial

Question 5. Assume we allow error of .4 on outputs:
$\square$ Activation > . 6 to corresponds to 1

- Activation < . 4 to corresponds to 0

What value for RMS guarantees the network has learned to criterion?

- Worst (most misleading) case is when error is zero on (I.e. has learned) all patterns and outside the criterion (has not learned) one:
+ The network has its lowest error, without having learned all patterns

$$
R M S=\sqrt{\frac{\left(0^{2}+0^{2}+0^{2}+.4^{2}\right)}{4}}=0.2
$$

